

Platform for Collaboration on Tax

Taxing Offshore Indirect Transfers: A New Toolkit

An Investor's Perspective

23 July 2020

COMMENT SUMMARY: AN INVESTOR'S PERSPECTIVE

I. Toolkit is not a recommendation and leaves decision for each country (Toolkit p. 9)

- Countries should have greater information on the option not to tax
 - Norway and the United States don't (Toolkit p. 20, footnote 27)

II. Reasons not to tax OITs involve interplay of investor/country perspectives

- Reducing investor risks can increase country income
- Ability to add/change investors can increase country income
- Taxing OITs may reduce value to a country
- Adding administrative complexity and diverting resources increase country costs/risks

III. IF tax OITs, need to avoid double taxation/retroactivity

- Need to step up basis of in-country assets
- Losses need to be deductible (including carrybacks/carryforwards)
- Law changes should be prospective only



RISK

"It's all about risk and reward......Offering a stable, consistent and predictable tax environment, with a fair, transparent and timely appeals process is very valuable to IOCs. If you can convince them that you will provide this they will accept a higher government take." [Quoted in UN Handbook on Taxation of the Extractive Industries]

- Countries competing for capital affirmatively use risk reduction to maximize value
- Corollary is where risks are increased, investors will require a greater return
 - > Barriers to entry of new partners increase risks/reduce "optimization" prospects
- "Predictable tax environment" certainly means no retroactive tax changes
 - > If investor can't rely on law in place, risk increases—lowering government take



COMPARE COUNTRY REVENUES WITH NO SALE CASE

Country/Investor agree on exploration and development terms

- > Reflects expectations of each, both in amount and timing of revenues
- Investors calculate returns on discounted cash flow basis at project rates (not external borrowing or cost of capital rates—See IMF FARI model)
- The net income from the property/project is what is available for sharing—no more and no less (Toolkit Box 1 explanation, p. 14)
 - > Agreement terms should promote, not discourage, activities that increase overall project value
- What happens if there is a partial or total change of investors?
 - > If not taxable, original terms simply remain in place—no acceleration or deceleration
 - If taxable, it accelerates—or decelerates if at a loss—the expected cash flows to country



PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

- Country's revenues are same over the project irrespective of OIT tax decision (Toolkit pp. 15 and 16)
- Receiving \$1000 today versus 10 years later, creates a \$450 time value benefit at a 6% country borrowing rate (Toolkit p. 15).
- But what about the investor? An investor "paying" \$1000 today, and recovering it 10 years later, suffers a time value "loss" of \$700-800 using its risk-weighted discount rate of 12-15%.
 - Certainly doesn't encourage bringing in new partners—increasing risks
- BUT this illustrates the potential win-win "sweet spot" of different discount rate perspectives
 - > A country can increase absolute and present value of revenues by deferring a timing difference
- Clearly should be considered in structuring agreed fiscal terms, including whether to tax OITs



CONCLUSIONS

- Considerable "momentum" to tax OITs-- BUT it actually is a choice!
- The choice to tax has economic impacts that should be understood
 COUNTRY SHOULD FULLY EVALUATE THE PROS AND CONS BEFORE MAKING OIT DECISIONS
- If after full analysis, a country does decide to tax OITs:
 - It needs to "step up" the basis in the in-country operating assets
 - > It should treat losses the same as gains—with tax carryback/forward mechanism
 - > The scope of taxation on an OIT should be no greater than on a domestic transaction
 - The law should be clear on all of these points—neither taxpayer nor tax administrator should have to guess
 - > If a change in law is desired, it should prospective only





Platform for Collaboration on Tax

Taxing Offshore Indirect Transfers: A New Toolkit

An Investor's Perspective

23 July 2020